

Stamford, CO 06901-2682

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 0001263/2232USU 1893 Andrea C. Nasstrom 10/653,431 09/02/2003 **EXAMINER** 7590 12/21/2005 Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq. Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. MILLER, BENA B PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 10th Floor One Landmark Square 3725

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		XΥ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/653,431	NASSTROM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bena Miller	3725
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a i. iriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON latute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-14,16-28,30-47 and 49-62 is/are	e pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 49-62 is/are without	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-28 and 30-47</u> is/are reject	ted.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No
Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		<i>1</i>
	Ber	ab.Mel.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview S	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	′08) 5) ☐ Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·

Application/Control Number: 10/653,431

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 13 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Castillo (US Patent 6,101,366) or Giannini (US Patent 4,860,364).

The device of Castillo or Giannini reads on the structural limitations of the claims including an amplifier (col. 2, par. 2 of Castillo).

Claims 16, 18, 19 28, 30, 31, 34, 36 and 38 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Savides (US Patent 3,670,321).

The device of Savides reads on the structural limitations of the claims including an amplifier (86).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-12 and 14 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castillo or Giannini.

Castillo or Giannini teaches most of the elements of the claimed invention except for high impact polystyrene porene material. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a persons of ordinary skill in the art to make the material of Castillo or Giannini high impact polystyrene porene because Applicant has not disclosed that high impact polystyrene porene material provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected the material of Castillo or Giannini and applicant's invention, to perform equally well because both materil would perform the same function of allowing sound to generate therethrough.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to modify Castillo or Giannini to obtain the invention specified in claim 14 because such modification would have been considered a mere design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art of Castillo or Giannini.

Further, it well known in the art to use motion switches for toys. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a motion switch for the device of Castillo or Giannini for the purpose of producing sounds upon the motion of an individual. Further, claims 7-12 do not appear to contain any additional features, which in combination with the features to any claim to which they refer, add anything novel.

Claims 17, 21-27, 32, 39-45 and 47 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Savides.

Savides teaches most of the elements of the claimed invention except for shirt and a motion switch. It should be noted in lines 1 and 2, Savides teaches a noise making device is worn in a pocket of a garment. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use a shirt with the device of Savides for the purpose of carrying a noise maker therein.

Further, it well known in the art to use motion switches for toys. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a motion switch for the device of Savides for the purpose of producing sounds upon the motion of an individual. Further, claims 39-45 do not appear to contain any additional features, which in combination with the features to any claim to which they refer, add anything novel.

Claims 20 and 37 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Savides in view of Castillo.

Savides teaches most of the elements of the claimed invention except for prerecorded sounds. Castillo teaches in the figures a toy having prerecorded sounds. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate prerecord sounds as suggested by Castillo for the device of Savides for the purpose of generating different sounds from individuals.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/653,431 Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 571.272.4427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/653,431 Page 6

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bena Miller
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3725

bbm

December 18, 2005